DOI: 10.1002/cbic.200800008

The Mildiomycin Biosynthesis: Initial Steps for Sequential Generation of 5-Hydroxymethylcytidine 5'-Monophosphate and 5-Hydroxymethylcytosine in Streptoverticillium rimofaciens ZJU5119

Li Li,^[a] Zhinan Xu,^[c] Xiaoying Xu,^[a] Jun Wu,^[a] Yun Zhang,^[c] Xinyi He,^{*[a]} T. Mark Zabriskie,^[b] and Zixin Deng^[a]

Mildiomycin (MIL) is a peptidyl nucleoside antibiotic with strong activity against powdery mildew disease of plants. We have cloned the MIL biosynthetic gene cluster in Streptoverticillum rimofaciens ZJU5119 and shown that this organism also produces the related antifungal compound, deshydroxymethyl mildiomycin (dHM-MIL). A cosmid genomic library was screened for a putative nucleotide hydrolase gene that is related to blsM from the blasticidin S cluster. Six cosmids were identified that contained a 3.5 kb DNA fragment that harbors a homologue of blsM. The sequence of the fragment revealed two open-reading frames that are likely to function in MIL formation: milA is a CMP hydroxymethylase gene and milB is the homologue of the CMP hydrolase gene blsM. Insertional disruption of milA abolished the production of MIL but not dHM-MIL, whereas a milB knockout strain did not produce either of the peptidyl nucleosides. Recombinant MilA was produced in E. coli and shown to specifically introduce a C-5 hydroxymethyl group on CMP, but it did not accept cytosine or dCMP as a substrate. MilB was also expressed and purified from E. coli and shown to efficiently hydrolyze both hydroxymethyl-CMP (HMCMP) and could accept CMP as an alternative substrate. The ratio of free HMC and cytosine released by MilB was ca. 9:1 in in vitro assays, and is consistent with the higher levels of MIL compared to dHM-MIL that are produced by Streptoverticillum rimofaciens.

Introduction

Mildiomycin (MIL) is a peptidyl nucleoside antibiotic that was originally isolated from the culture filtrate of Streptoverticillium rimofaciens (hereafter abbreviated as Sv. rimofaciens).^[1,2] The compound possesses a strong inhibitory effect against powdery mildew on plants, and has been produced and sold commercially in Japan as a fungicide for agricultural and horticulture use. The mechanism of action was shown to be the inhibition of fungal protein biosynthesis.^[3] The structural components of MIL include 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (HMC), which is an unusual 4-amino-pyran-3-ene moiety that bears an arginine-like side chain and a serine residue (Scheme 1).^[4] There has been a great deal of interest in the family of peptidyl nucleoside antibiotics due to their diverse biological activities, including antitumor, antiviral, antibacterial, and antifungal activity.^[5] The biomedical and agricultural potential of these agents, together with various unique structural features, prompted investigations into the biosynthesis of these compounds, and more recently the biosynthetic gene clusters for several peptidyl nucleosides have been reported, including those for puromycin,^[6] nikkomycin,^[7] streptothricin $F_r^{[8]}$ and blasticidin S.^[9]

Previous investigations of MIL biosynthesis have focused on the formation of HMC and the various means to increase the production of MIL. Among the family of peptidyl nucleoside antibiotics, the HMC moiety is only found in MIL, 5-hydroxymethylblasticidin S^[10] and 5-hydroxymethylleucylblasticidin S

http://www.chembiochem.org or from the author.

blasticidin S.

(Sch36605 or rodaplutin).^[5] 5-Hydroxymethylcytosine was first identified as a nucleic acid component of the T-even phage. $[11]$ It was subsequently shown that hydroxymethylation took place at the nucleotide level, with deoxycytidine 5'-monophosphate (dCMP) and formaldehyde serving as substrates in the presence of tetrahydrofolate (THF) and an enzyme preparation derived from E. coli that was infected with T-even bacteriophages.^[12] Sawada et al. used cell-free extracts of Sv. rimofaciens to examine MIL biosynthesis and demonstrated that cytidine 5'-monophosphate (CMP) is converted first to 5-hydroxymethylcytidine 5'-monophosphate (HMCMP) in a reaction that requires serine or formaldehyde and THF.[13] HMCMP was shown to be subsequently hydrolyzed to free HMC by a specific HMCMP N-ribotidase.^[14] This group also demonstrated that the availability of free HMC might be a limiting factor in MIL biosynthesis. The addition of exogenous HMC to Sv. rimofaciens culture media resulted in a pronounced increase in MIL production.^[15] It was also revealed that when various 5-substituted cytosines were added to MIL cultures, MIL analogues accumulated; this indicated that the enzyme involved in the incorporation of HMC into MIL exhibit some flexibility in substrate recognition.^[15] The addition of ferrous ion to the culture medium also increased the yield of MIL production, and it was suggested this was due to an increased supply of amino acids precursors.[16] In iron-sufficient medium, glutamate, aspartate, serine and arginine levels in the cells were two to ten-fold greater than those that were measured in cells from iron-deficient medium, and arginine was the major amino acid that was excreted from cells in the iron-sufficient cultures.^[17]

To date, there have been no published experiments that examined the incorporation of isotopically labeled arginine or related compounds into MIL. Thus, it remains to be demonstrated that the guanidine-containing segment of MIL originates from an arginine-derived α -ketoacid. However, the presence of the α -hydroxy acid, and the fact that cytosyl- or 5-hydroxymethylcytosylglucuronic acids are likely intermediates in the biosynthesis of MIL, blasticidin S, and the pentopyranines suggests a coupling of the α -keto acid of arginine with a decarboxylated nucleoside.^[5,18] It also remains to be established whether hydroxylation of this guanidine side-chain precedes coupling with the nucleoside, or occurs afterwards. In this regard, mildiomycin D was identified as a minor component from the culture broth of Sv. rimofaciens B-98891 and differs from MIL by lacking the hydroxyl group on the arginine-like moiety.[19]

In addition to the structural resemblance of MIL and blasticidin S (Scheme 1), fermentation and biochemical studies also support related biosynthetic pathways. Similar to the findings with MIL, yields of blasticidin S increased nearly two-fold when free cytosine was added to cultures of the producing organism.^[20] More recently, BlsM was characterized from the blasticidin S biosynthetic pathway as a CMP hydrolase, and was shown to be responsible for generating free cytosine from CMP,^[21] analogous to the conversion of HMCMP to HMC. Based on these similarities we sought to use elements of the blasticidin S (bls) gene cluster to aid with the identification of the mildiomycin biosynthetic genes. We report here the cloning of the mil gene cluster in Sv. rimofaciens ZJU5119 and demonstrate in vitro that the products of milA and milB act sequentially to convert CMP to HMC and initiate mildiomycin biosynthesis.

Results

Cloning of mildiomycin biosynthetic gene cluster in Sv. rimofaciens ZJU5119

A nucleotide hydrolase activity in the cell-free extract of Sv. rimofaciens was previously shown to catalyze the specific formation of hydroxymethylcytosine (HMC) from hydroxymethylcytidine 5'-monophosphate (HMCMP).^[13,14] Given the structural similarity between HMCMP and CMP, we postulated that the hydrolase that cleaves HMCMP in Sv. rimofaciens might share sequence similarity with BlsM, which converts CMP to cytosine in blasticidin S biosynthesis.^[21] Based on the aligned sequences of BlsM and homologues, six pairs of degenerate primers were designed by using the CODE-HOP strategy (Table 2, Figure 1 A).^[27] PCR products of the expected sizes that correspond to six different blocks of the blsM counterpart in Sv. rimofaciens were obtained (Figure 1 B). The patterns for both strains were found to be the same (indicated by the arrows in Figure 1 B), and implied the HMCMP hydrolase gene shared many similarities with blsM. The largest PCR product (Figure 1 B) was sequenced, and its deduced amino acid was found to share 62% identity and 74% positive homology with BlsM; this strongly suggests that this fragment might be part of the gene that codes for HMCMP hydrolase.

To identify the mildiomycin biosynthetic gene cluster, a genomic library was constructed in the integrative cosmid pJTU2554 (Table 1) and screened by PCR by using the primer CMPH (Table 2) with a pool-and-split PCR approach. This analysis of 20 96-well plates of clones led to six cosmids demonstrated to constitute an overlapping contig via SacI restriction map analysis. The putative HMCMP hydrolase gene was mapped to a common 3.5 kb SacI fragment by Southern hybridization (Figure 2 A). This fragment was cloned into pBluescript II SK(+) to generate pJTU1794, and sequenced. Computational analysis of the sequence by using FramePlot 3.0 predicted three putative open-reading frames.^[24] BLAST analysis revealed that the product of $orf1$ was 81% identical to SCO4047, a protein of unknown function that is predicted from the S. coelicolor A3(2) genome. The Orf2 sequence exhibited high similarity to members of the ThyA superfamily of thymidylate synthases (Pfam PF00 303) that catalyze the reductive methylation of dUMP to dTMP with concomitant conversion of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate to dihydrofolate.^[28] Thus, we suspected that the ThyA homologue that is encoded by orf2 might act as a CMP hydroxymethylase and catalyze the initial step for mildiomycin biosynthesis. The deduced product of orf3 has a conserved domain that is found in a family of nucleoside 2-deoxyribosyltransferases (Pfam PF05 014) and shares 54% identity (78/144) with BlsM. It seemed very likely that Orf3 should function as the HMCMP hydrolase required for the release of hydroxymethylcytosine from the corresponding

 $B)$

S. griseochromogenes

Sv. remonfaciens

Figure 1. A) Alignment of BlsM and homologues from public databases that were used for the design of degenerate primers to clone the mildiomycin biosynthetic gene cluster. The arrows indicate the locations of the degenerate primers are shown in A, B, C, D, and E (see Table 2 for sequences). B) The patterns of PCR products of expected size from S. griseochromogenes and Sv. rimofaciens are similar. The primers that were used for each lane are shown, and the band of the expected size is indicated by the vertical arrow.

Figure 2. A) Schematic representation of six overlapping cosmids that share a common 3.5 kb SacI fragment. The boundary of the 3.5 kb fragment is indicated by the dashed lines. B) Illustration of the replacement targets gene of milA and milB. The short double slash indicates the omitted region. C) Confirmation of the mutants LL2 and LL1 by PCR. Lanes a and b show the PCR products from two independent colonies of each mutant. Lane c shows the PCR product that was obtained from the wild-type Sv. rimofaciens by employing the same primer set. The primer that was used for the LL2 gel was PT-milA-T, and for the LL1 gel PT-milB-T was used.

nucleotide. Based on the predicted roles of orf2 and orf3 in mildiomycin biosynthesis, they were renamed as milA and milB.

Identification of a new mildiomycin derivative in the extract of Sv. rimofaciens ZJU5119

As the HPLC chromatogram shows in the Figure 3A, an extract of the fermentation broth of wild-type Sv. rimofaciens ZJU5119 showed two peaks, and peak I has the same retention time as the MIL standard. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) analysis of peak I showed an ion at m/z 515, which corresponds to the protonated quasi-molecular ion of MIL, and a strong signal at m/z 374, which correlates to the loss of the HMC moiety. This fragmentation profile is the same as that observed for the MIL standard sample. In addition to MIL, peak II was present at a retention time of about 39 min, which had not been reported before (Figure 3 A). To determine whether it was related to mildiomycin, the peak was subjected to the ESI-MS analysis, and produced an ion at m/z 485, which is consistent with the protonated quasi-molecular ion of deshydroxymethyl mildiomycin (dHM-MIL). This assignment was further supported by the presence of a fragment ion at m/z 374 as seen with MIL.

Inactivation of milA abolishes the production of MIL but not dHM-MIL

To show conclusively that milA is required for the biosynthesis of MIL, the gene was disrupted by insertion of the aadA cassette, and the resulting mutant, LL2, was confirmed by PCR (Figure 2 C). In the bioassay plate (Figure 3 B), the MIL standard displayed a halo, whereas the agar patch of wild-type Sv. rimofaciens had an outer halo and an inner clear zone; this suggests that the halo is caused by MIL, and the clear zone is caused by other metabolites. Surprisingly, both the halo and clear zone remained in two independent LL2 strains, named LL2a and LL2b. To address this finding, mutant strain LL2 was cultured under MIL production conditions, and the metabolites were purified with the same protocol that was used for the wild-type strain, and analyzed by LC–MS (Figure 3 A). The HPLC

EIEMBIOCHEM

Figure 3. HPLC and bioassay comparison of the mildiomycin standard to fermentation products from wild-type Sv. rimofaciens and mutants. Peak I and II presents MIL and dHM-MIL, respectively; MIL: Mildiomycin standard, WT: wild-type Sv. rimofaciens, LL2: Sv. rimofaciens LL2, LL1: Sv. rimofaciens LL1.

chromatogram showed that peak I (MIL) disappeared but peak II (dHM-MIL) remained in the extract of LL2. Thus the outer halo around LL2 is due to the activity of dHM-MIL, which implies that dHM-MIL has antifungal activity against Rhodotorula rubra that is similar to that of MIL.

Inactivation of milB abolishes the production of both MIL and dHM-MIL

To examine if MilB is responsible for the generation of cytosine and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine, milB was inactivated by the insertion of the *aadA* cassette and the resultant mutant, LL1, was confirmed by PCR (Figure 2C). In the agar plate bioassay (Figure 3 B), the outer halos disappeared around the two individual LL1 mutants, LL1a and LL1b, although the inner clear zone remained. For LC–MS analysis of the MIL and dHM-MIL production, LL1 was grown under MIL production conditions and the fermentation broth was processed in the same way as the wild-type sample. The HPLC chromatogram showed the disappearance of both peaks I (MIL) and II (dHM-MIL; Figure 3 A) in the LL1 extract. This suggested that MilB was responsible for the hydrolysis of both CMP and HMCMP.

Analysis in vitro of the sequential action of MilA and MilB

To obtain direct evidence for the generation of free cytosine and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine by MilA and MilB, the proteins were heterologously expressed and purified from E. coli. The calculated molecular weights of His-tagged MilA and MilB are 38.4 and 22.7 kDa, respectively, which is consistent with those that were observed by SDS-PAGE (Figure S1). The ability of MilB to hydrolyze CMP to cytosine was confirmed. The LC–MS traces that are illustrated in Figure 4 A show the time-dependent conversion of CMP to free cytosine by MilB over periods of 1 and 5 h. There is some uncatalyzed hydrolysis of CMP under the reaction conditions (top panel), but the rate of product formation is clearly increased with added MilB and supports its catalytic role.

MilA was predicted to introduce the C-5 hydroxymethyl group that is found on the cytosine moiety in MIL. To determine if hydroxymethylation occurs on free cytosine or CMP, as was previously observed in cell-free studies, both potential substrates were individually incubated with purified MilA and the appropriate cofactors. LC–MS analysis revealed product formation only when CMP was used as substrate, and nearly all of the CMP was consumed after 5 h (Figure 4). To further test the substrate specificity of MilA, dCMP, CDP, CTP, cytidine, UMP, dUMP and cytosylglucuronic acid (CGA) were evaluated. However, only CMP was consumed in the MilA reaction. The mass of peak III was consistent with that of HMCMP, and the product identity was further confirmed by one- and two-dimensional ¹H and ¹³C NMR experiments (Figures S2-S4).

To further prove the roles of MilA and MilB in MIL biosynthesis, both enzymes were incubated with CMP. After 1 h a new peak dominated the chromatogram and the presence of a parent ion at m/z 142 established that it was the expected HMC (peak IV). After 5 h, all the CMP was consumed, and only HMC and a small amount of cytosine (peak II) were present. Thus, the products that are generated in vitro by MilA and MilB are consistent with the structures of the two different metabolites that are biosynthesized in Sv. rimofaciens. The initial steps for sequential generation of HM-CMP, HMC and cytosine in Sv. rimofaciens ZJU5119 are summarized in Scheme 2. Finally, maximum MilB activity was observed at pH 7.5 and the kinetic parameters for the enzyme with HMCMP as substrate were calculated to be $K_m = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ m, $k_{\text{cat}} = 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹ and $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m =$

Figure 4. LC–MS analysis of products after 1 h (left) and 5 h (right) of incubation with purified MilA and/or MilB. Peaks I, II, III and IV are CMP, cytosine, HM-CMP and HMC, respectively. The chemical structure of each compound is shown.

22.1 M^{-1} s⁻¹ (Figure 5). The kinetic parameters for MilB with CMP as substrate were not measured due to the low level of activity.

Discussion

Previous work by using cell-free extracts of Sv. rimofaciens to study the biosynthesis of mildiomycin established that free 5 hydroxymethylcytosine (HMC) was a precursor to MIL and was generated from CMP by the actions of a pyrimidine hydroxymethylase and a nucleotide hydrolase.^[13, 14] This was consistent with the biosynthesis of the structurally related blasticidin S, which also requires free cytosine as a precursor.^[21, 29] The free pyrimidine was subsequently shown to originate from the hydrolysis of CMP, which is catalyzed by the nucleotide hydrolase BlsM.^[21] We capitalized on the likely sequence similarity of the Sv. rimofaciens nucleotide hydrolase gene with the cloned blsM gene, and identified a fragment of the Sv. rimofaciens chromosome that harbored the blsM homologue (milB) as well as the gene for the CMP 5-hydroxymethylase (milA).

A sequence comparison of MilB and BlsM shows that the nucleotide hydrolases share 54% overall identity in 144 overlapping amino acids with the lowest similarity observed at both ends of the proteins (Figure S5). Notably, there are 22 additionalamino acids at the N terminus of MilB, and the C termini share only five identical amino acids over the last 32 residues. A tempting assumption is that one or both ends of MilB dictate the substrate bias for 5-hydroxymethyl-CMP. Deletion of the N terminus and/or the replacement of the C terminus of MilB with the corresponding section of BlsM might shed light

Scheme 2. Proposed steps for generation of HM-CMP, HMC, and cytosine.

Figure 5. HM-cytosine formation by MilB with HM-CMP as substrate.

on this issue. Certainly, sequence differences throughout the highly conserved region might also play key roles in the specificity for 5-hydroxymethyl-CMP, and we are now identifying which region(s) determine the substrate preference.

It was interesting to find that a new analogue of MIL that lacks the C-5 hydroxymethyl group (dHM-MIL) was produced by wild-type Sv. rimofaciens. Interestingly, the ratio of MIL/ dHM-MIL was about 3:1 (Figure 3 A) but when MilA and MilB are co-incubated with CMP, the proportion of HMC/cytosine is about 9:1 (Figure 4). The ratio in vivo for HMC/cytosine is unknown, but we confirmed that MilB accepts CMP as a poor alternative substrate, and that MilA does not convert free cytosine to HMC. It is possible that the differences in vivo and in vitro arise from subtle regulatory effects (e.g., product inhibition or other conditional differences) that have not yet been revealed by the in vitro studies. Alternatively, intermediates with a cytosine moiety might be more efficiently processed by downstream enzymes in the MIL biosynthesis pathway than those with a HMC group.

MilA was predicted by Pfam to belong to the protein family PF00303, which corresponds to thymidylate synthases (TS) and deoxycytidylate hydroxymethylases (dCMP-HMase).[30] In the presence of CH₂THF and other accessory elements, TS can convert dUMP into the dTMP that is required for DNA synthesis and likewise, dCMP-HMase can convert dCMP into hydroxymethyl-dCMP. Despite the high similarity (E value = 7.7×10^{-05}) between MilA and PF00303, it was shown here that MilA does not recognize dCMP as a substrate. It will be interesting to know which part of MilA determines the substrate specificity compared with other known PF00303 proteins.

In the blasticidin S pathway, cytosine and UDP-glucuronic acid are coupled to form cytosylglucuronic acid (CGA) by the enzyme CGA synthase (BlsD).^[9,21] Sequence analysis and biochemical characterization of the corresponding hydroxymethyl-CGA synthase from Sv. rimofaciens should reveal more information on factors that govern substrate selection and differences in substrate processing efficiencies. However, a blsD homologue has not been identified, and efforts are currently underway to identify and sequence the complete mildiomycin gene cluster. This information might also reveal additional steps that are shared by the two pathways, and provide insight into various ways that these related pathways might be engineered to arrive at non-natural compounds with improved action against powdery mildew and other fungal diseases that are important in agriculture.

Experimental Section

Culture techniques and genetic manipulations: Sv. rimofaciens ZJU5119 (Table 1) and its derivatives were grown at 30 $^{\circ}$ C on SFM agar plates for sporulation; on R2YE for protoplast transformation or in TSB liquid medium that was supplemented with sucrose (10.3% (w/v)) and yeast extract (1% (w/v)) for growth of mycelia. Isolation of total DNA, protoplast preparation and transformations were performed according to Kieser et al.^[22] Manipulations of *E. coli* strains were conducted according to Sambrook et al. $^{[23]}$ For selection of Sv. remonfaciens transformants, the concentrations of spectinomycin and thiostrepton that were used on agar were 150 μ g mL⁻¹ and 15 μ g mL⁻¹, respectively, and in liquid medium concentrations were 50 μ g mL⁻¹ and 5 μ g mL⁻¹, respectively. In vivo generation of targeted mutations in Sv. remonfaciens was achieved by transformation of unmethylated DNA, which was prepared from E. coli ET12567 (Table 1) according to Kieser et al.^[22] Restriction enzymes, T4 DNA ligase, Taq polymerase and alkaline phosphatase were purchased from MBI Fermentas (Vilnius, Lithuania).

Construction of a Sv. rimofaciens genomic library: For the generation of a cosmid library, high-molecular-weight chromosomal DNA was prepared according to the protocol by Kieser et al., partially digested with MboI, dephosphorylated with calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (Fermentas), and size-fractionated in low-meltingtemperature agarose by using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE).[22] The chromosome DNA fragments between 35 and 45 kb were recovered from the low-melting agarose gel that was digested by β -agarase (New England Biolabs). The recovered DNA was ligated with BamHI-digested cosmid vector pJTU2554 (Table 1) in a 1:1 molar ratio. Packaging and transfection into E. coli EPI300-T1 (Table 1) was done with λ -packaging mixes that were prepared according to Sambrook et al.^[23] The apramycin resistant colonies were picked individually and inoculated in LB (100 μ L) that was supplied with apramycin in each well of 20 96-well plates. These plates were grown at 37 \degree C for 20 h, and then 50% glycerol (100 μ L) was added into each well for storage at -80° C.

FULL PAPERS

PCR primers, DNA probes and Southern hybridization: The aligned DNA sequence of blsM and its homologues revealed five conserved regions (A, B, C, D and E; Figure 1 A) that were used to design six pairs of degenerate PCR primers (B1E1, B1E2, AC, AD, B2C and B2D; Table 2) for use in amplifying fragments of a target nucleotide hydrolase gene from Sv. rimofaciens and S. griseochromogenes (Table 1). PCR products were purified from agarose gels (0.8%) by using the DNA Gel Extraction Kit (V-gene Biotechnology Ltd.) and subsequently inserted into the pMD18-T vector (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) for sequencing. Based on the sequence of the largest of these fragments, the specific primers CMPH (Table 2) were designed and used to screen the genomic library of Sv. rimofaciens.

Each of 20 96-well plates was screened by combining equal aliquots of cells from every well, and this mixture served as a template for PCR. For plates that yielded the desired PCR products, aliquots of cells from each well in a row were combined and screened by PCR. Finally, each well from the positive rows was separately screened to identify individual clones that carry the target gene. For the Southern hybridization experiments, cosmid DNA was cleaved with Sacl, separated on a agarose gel (0.8%), and transferred onto Hybond-N $^+$ nylon membrane (Amersham Biosciences). The probe that was produced by PCR from cosmid 1A6 with primers CMPH, was labeled with radioactive α -[32P]-dCTP by using Random Priming Kit (Roche). The Southern blot hybridization was carried out overnight at 65°C followed by high stringency wash (0.1 \times SSC, 0.1% (w/v) SDS) at the same temperature, and detected with a phosphorimager (Fujifilm).

Production and analysis of mildiomycin: For bioassays, the Streptoverticillium strains were grown at 30 $^{\circ}$ C for 5 days on SFM plates for production of MIL, agar patches were transferred to potato dextrose agar (PDA, diced potatoes 200 g, glucose 20 g and agar 15 g in 1 L tap water) that contained Rhodotorula rubra AS2.166 (Table 1), a fungal strain that is sensitive to MIL. Inhibition zones were observed after 20 h at 30 $^{\circ}$ C. For LC–MS analysis, strains were cultured in (tryptone soya broth powder 30 g, yeast extract 10 g and sucrose 103 g in 1 L distilled water) in baffled flasks at 30 $^{\circ}$ C and at 220 rpm for 6 days. The fermentation broth was harvested, adjusted to pH 5.0, and centrifuged at $12000q$ for 5 min. The supernatant was applied to Supelclean LC-SCX SPE columns (500 mg/ 3 mL, Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA), washed with water (2 mL) then 0.5% NH₄OH (2 mL). The fraction that eluted with 3% NH₄OH was filtered through a membrane ($2 \mu m$) before injection. The LC-MS analysis was done by using an Agilent 1100 LC-MSD with a C18 column (TC-C18, 250×4.6 mm, Agilent). The isocratic mobile phase was 10 mm trichloroacetic acid/MeCN (92:8, v/v) and the flow rate was 0.3 mL min⁻¹ at room temperature. Elution was monitored with a photodiode array detector at 279 nm and electrospray ionization (ESI) MS analysis was carried out in the positive mode.

Sequence analysis: DNA sequencing was done at Shanghai Sangon Ltd. (Shanghai, China), and multiple sequence alignment was performed with BioEdit 7.0. Open-reading frames (ORFs) and ribosome binding sites (RBS) were predicted by using Frame-Plot 3.0 .^[24] Similarity comparisons of nucleotide or amino acid sequences against public databases were done by using the BLAST program on the NCBI website (http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast).^[25]

Targeted disruption of milA and milB: A construct that harbored milA and milB to be used to generate a gene replacement vector was constructed by using the ReDirect technology.^[26] A ca. 10.6 kb EcoR72I fragment that contained milA and milB was recovered from cosmid 1A6 and inserted into the SmaI site of pBluescript $SK(+)$ to generate pJTU1796. Digestion with HindIII and XbaI yield-

ed a product that was cloned into the corresponding sites of pJTU412 to give pJTU1797. This plasmid was introduced into E. coli BW25 113/pIJ790 (Table 1) by electroporation. The aadA cassette was amplified by PCR from pIJ779 (Table 1) with the primers PTmilA and PT-milB (Table 2). The cassette was used to replace a 999 bp portion of milA in pJTU1797, to give pJTU2952, and was also used to replace a 507 bp section of milB in pJTU1797 to yield pJTU2953. Both pJTU2952 and pJTU2953 DNA were individually prepared from E. coli ET12 567 and separately introduced to Sv. rimofaciens by protoplast transformation. Putative double-crossover strains were confirmed by PCR with the primers of PT-milA-T and PT-milB-T (Table 2).

Preparation of milA and milB expression constructs: The milA gene was amplified from cosmid 1A6 by PCR with the primers ExmilA (Table 2, Ncol and Xhol sites are underlined). The milB gene was amplified from the same cosmid by using primers Ex-milB (Table 2, BamHI and XhoI sites are underlined). PCR was carried out with high fidelity DNA polymerase (KOD-Plus, TOYOBO) and the products were gel purified, digested with the appropriate enzymes, and cloned into the corresponding restriction sites of the pET28a (+) vector (Novagen). The resulting plasmids, named pJTU2955 and pJTU2956 were used to transform E. coli DH10B for sequencing. The plasmids were introduced to E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysE (Novagen) for expression studies.

Expression and purification of His-tagged MilA and MilB: E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysE cells that carried pJTU2955 or pJTU2956 were grown overnight in LB media that was supplemented with chloramphenicol and kanamycin (34 μ g mL⁻¹ and 50 μ g mL⁻¹, respectively). The seed cultures (10 mL) were used to inoculate 1-L production cultures of LB with the corresponding antibiotics. The cells were grown at 37 \degree C to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.6 and then induced with isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, final concentration, 1 mm). The cultures were then grown for an additional 5 h at 30 $^{\circ}$ C. After centrifugation, the cells were resuspended in binding buffer (40 mL, 20 mm sodium phosphate, 20 mm imidazole, and 0.5m NaCl, pH 7.4) and lysed by sonication in an ice bath (10 \times 60 s at 15 W with 60 s pauses). After centrifugation (16000g for 45 min at 4 \degree C), the supernatant was applied to HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) and purified by using an ÄKTA FPLC (GE Healthcare), by eluting with elution buffer (20 mm sodium phosphate, 500 mm imidazole, and 0.5m NaCl, pH 7.4) in linear gradient. The purified His-tagged MilA and MilB were desalted with HiTrap Desalting column (GE healthcare) and stored in Tris–HCl buffer (50 mm, pH7.5) with glycerol (20%) at -80° C. The expression and purification of His-tagged MilA and MilB were analyzed by 12% SDS-PAGE, and protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad).

In vitro analysis of purified MilA and MilB: The assays of recombinant MilA and MilB were carried out at 37°C for 1 h and 5 h in a total volume of 100 μ L that contained Tris–HCl buffer (100 mm, pH 7.5), paraformaldehyde (15 mm), 2-mercaptoethanol (50 mm), tetrahydrofolate (2 mm), CMP (1 mm), FeSO₄ (10 mm) and the corresponding His-tagged MilA (70 μ g) and/or MilB (50 μ g). The reactions were quenched by the addition of trichloroacetic acid. To test the substrate specificity of MilA, dCMP, CDP, CTP, cytosine, cytidine, UMP, dUMP (all from Sigma) and cytosylglucuronic acid (CGA) were evaluated individually at 1 mM final concentration. The product and substrate were analyzed by LC–MS as described above, but by using a mobile phase of NH₄OAc (20 mm), pH 5.5 buffer/MeCN, (98:2, v/v) at a flow rate of 0.3 mL min⁻¹ at room temperature. The product of the MilA-catalyzed reaction was purified and confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy (400 MHz, Bruker) to be 5'-hydroxy-

NHEMBIOCHEM

methyl-CMP. ¹H NMR (D₂O, 400 MHz): $\delta = 8.30$ (s, H-6), 4.62 (s, H-7), 6.02 (d, $J=1.4$ Hz; H-1'), 4.43 (m, H-2'), 4.28 (m, H-3'), 4.16 (m, H-4'), 4.38 (m, H-5'); ¹³C NMR (D₂O, 100 MHz): δ = 151.9 (s, C-2), 161.8 (s, C-4), 108.9 (s, C-5), 145.2 (d, C-6), 59.4 (t, C-7), 92.5 (d, C-1'), 71.6 (d, C-2'), 71.9 (d, C-3'), 86.1 (d, C-4'), 77.1 (t, C-5'). A summary for the correlation is shown in Table S1.

The kinetic parameters and pH optimum for MilB were measured in Tris–HCl buffers (pH 6.8–8.8) and assays were conducted in a total volume of 100 μ L that contained MilB (5 μ m), HMCMP (0.1– 1.8 mm), and Tris-HCl buffer (50 mm). Reactions were incubated for 30 min at 37° C and quenched by boiling for 10 min. Precipitated protein was removed, and each reaction was analyzed by HPLC. The quantity of the substrate and product was determined by measuring and converting its peak area. Prism5 software (Graph-Pad Software, Inc.) was used to calculate kinetic parameters.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Qianjin Kang (Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China) for NMR analysis. This work received support from the 863 and 973 programs from the Ministry of Science and Technology, the Funds from the Ministry of Education, China Ocean Mineral Resources R&D Association (COMRA) and the Shanghai Municipal Council of Science and Technology.

Keywords: antifungal agents \cdot biosynthesis \cdot nucleotide hydrolase \cdot peptidyl nucleoside \cdot pyrimidine hydroxymethylase

- [1] T. Iwasa, K. Suetomi, T. Kusaka, J. Antibiot. 1978, 31, 511–518.
- [2] S. Harada, T. Kishi, J. Antibiot. 1978, 31, 519–524.
- [3] E. Feduchi, M. Cosin, L. Carrasco, J. Antibiot. 1985, 38, 415-419.
- [4] E. M. S. Harada, T. Kishi, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00483a047) 1978, 100, 4895-4897.
- [5] K. Isono, J. Antibiot. 1988, 41, 1711-1739.
- [6] R. A. Lacalle, J. A. Tercero, A. Jimenez, EMBO J. 1992, 11, 785–792.
- [7] C. Bormann, V. Mohrle, C. Bruntner, J. Bacteriol. 1996, 178, 1216-1218.
- [8] M. A. Fernandez-Moreno, C. Vallin, F. Malpartida, J. Bacteriol. 1997, 179, 6929–6936.
- [9] M. C. Cone, X. Yin, L. L. Grochowski, M. R. Parker, T. M. Zabriskie, [Chem-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200300583)BioChem 2003, 4[, 821–828.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200300583)
- [10] S. H. Larsen, D. M. Berry, J. W. Paschal, J. M. Gilliam, J. Antibiot. 1989, 42, 470–471.
- [11] G. R. Wyatt, S. S. Cohen, Biochem. J. 1953, 55, 774–782.
- [12] J. G. Flaks, S. S. Cohen, [Biochim. Biophys. Acta](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-3002(57)90553-X) 1957, 25, 667-678.
- [13] H. Sawada, T. Suzuki, S. Akiyama, Y. Nakao, J. Ferment. Technol. 1985, 63, 17–21.
- [14] H. Sawada, T. Suzuki, S. Akiyama, Y. Nakao, J. Ferment. Technol. 1985, 63, 23–27.
- [15] H. Sawada, K. Katamoto, T. Suzuki, S. Akiyama, Y. Nakao, J. Ferment. Technol. 1984, 62, 537–543.
- [16] K. Kishimoto, Y. S. Park, M. Okabe, S. Akiyama, J. Antibiot. 1996, 49, 770-774.
- [17] K. Kishimoto, Y. S. Park, M. Okabe, S. Akiyama, J. Antibiot. 1997, 50, 206– 211.
- [18] "Blasticidin S and Related Peptidyl Nucleoside Antibiotics", S. J. Gould in Biotechnology of Antibiotics, 2nd ed. (Ed.: W. R. Strohl), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997, 703–731.
- [19] S. Tashiro, N. Sugita, T. Iwasa, H. Sawada, Agric. Biol. Chem. 1984, 48, 881–885.
- [20] S. J. Gould, J. Guo, J. Bacteriol. 1994, 176, 1282–1286.
- [21] L. L. Grochowski, T. M. Zabriskie, [ChemBioChem](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200600026) 2006, 7, 957-964.
- [22] T. Kieser, M. J. Bibb, K. F. Chater, M. J. Butter, D. A. Hopwood, Practical Streptomyces Genetics. A Laboratory Manual, John Innes Foundation, Norwich, 2000.
- [23] J. Sambrook, E. F. Fritsch, T. Maniatis, Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York, 1989.
- [24] J. Ishikawa, K. Hotta, [FEMS Microbiol. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1999.tb13576.x) 1999, 174, 251-253. [25] S. F. Altschul, T. L. Madden, A. A. Schaffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller,
- D. J. Lipman, [Nucleic Acids Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389) 1997, 25, 3389–3402. [26] B. Gust, G. L. Challis, K. Fowler, T. Kieser, K. F. Chater, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0337542100) USA 2003, 100[, 1541–1546](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0337542100).
- [27] T. M. Rose, E. R. Schultz, J. G. Henikoff, S. Pietrokovski, C. M. McCallum, S. Henikoff, [Nucleic Acids Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/26.7.1628) 1998, 26, 1628–1635.
- [28] P. Ross, F. O'Gara, S. Condon, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1990, 56, 2156-2163.
- [29] H. Seto, H. Yonehara, J. Antibiot. 1977, 30, 1019–1021.
- [30] R. D. Finn, J. Mistry, B. Schuster-Bockler, S. Griffiths-Jones, V. Hollich, T. Lassmann, S. Moxon, M. Marshall, A. Khanna, R. Durbin, S. R. Eddy, E. L. Sonnhammer, A. Bateman, [Nucleic Acids Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj149) 2006, 34, D247–D257.
- [31] M. S. Paget, L. Chamberlin, A. Atrih, S. J. Foster, M. J. Buttner, J. Bacteriol. 1999, 181, 204–211.

Received: January 5, 2008 Published online on April 15, 2008